arXiv:1405.7024v1 [math.SG] 27 May 2014

The uniform normal form of a linear mapping

Richard Cushman[1]

Dedicated to my friend and colleague Arjeh Cohen on his retirement

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $A : V \to V$ be a linear mapping of V into itself with characteristic polynomial χ_A. The goal of this paper is to give a normal form for A, which yields a better description of its structure than the classical companion matrix. This normal form does not use a factorization of χ_A and requires only operations in the field k to compute.

1 Semisimple linear mappings

We begin by giving a well known criterion to determine if the linear mapping A is semisimple, that is, every A-invariant subspace of V has an A-invariant complementary subspace.

Suppose that we can factor χ_A, that is, find monic irreducible polynomials $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^m$, which are pairwise relatively prime, such that $\chi_A = \prod_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{n_i}$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then

$$\chi'_A = \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j \pi_j^{n_j-1} \pi'_j) \prod\nolimits_{i \neq j} \pi_i^{n_i} = (\prod\nolimits_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell^{n_\ell - 1})(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j \pi'_j) \prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i).$$

Therefore the greatest common divisor χ_A and its derivative χ'_A is the polynomial $d = \prod_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell^{n_\ell - 1}$. The polynomial d can be computed using the Euclidean algorithm. Thus the square free factorization of χ_A is the polynomial $p = \prod_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell = \chi_A / d$, which can be computed without knowing a factorization of χ_A.

The goal of the next discussion is to prove

Claim 1.1 The linear mapping $A : V \to V$ is semisimple if $p(A) = 0$ on V.

[1]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2N 1N4.

Let $p = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \pi_j$ be the square free factorization of the characteristic polynomial χ_A of A. We now decompose V into A-invariant subspaces. For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $V_j = \{v \in V \mid \pi_j(A)v = 0\}$. Then V_j is an A-invariant subspace of V. For if $v \in V_j$, then $\pi_j(A)Av = A\pi_j(A)v = 0$, that is, $Av \in V_j$. The following argument shows that $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} V_j$. Because for $1 \leq j \leq m$ the polynomials $\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i$ are pairwise relatively prime, there are polynomials f_j, $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i \right)$. Therefore every vector $v \in V$ can be written as

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(A) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i(A)v \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(A)v_j.$$

Since $\pi_j(A)\left(\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i(A)v \right) = p(A)v = 0$, the vector $v_j \in V_j$. Therefore $V = \sum_{j=1}^{m} V_j$. If for $i \neq j$ we have $w \in V_i \cap V_j$, then for some polynomials F_i and G_j we have $1 = F_i\pi_i + G_j\pi_j$, because π_i and π_j are relatively prime. Consequently, $w = F_i(A)\pi_i(A)w + G_j(A)\pi_j(A)w = 0$. So $V = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \oplus V_j$. $\square$

We now prove

Lemma 1.2 For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ there is a basis of the A-invariant subspace V_j such that that matrix of A is block diagonal.

Proof. Let W be a minimal dimensional proper A-invariant subspace of V_j and let w be a nonzero vector in W. Then there is a minimal positive integer r such that $A^r w \in \mathrm{span_k}\{w, Aw, \ldots, A^{r-1}w\} = U$. We assert: the vectors $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ are linearly independent. Suppose that there are $a_i \in \mathrm{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that $0 = a_0 w + a_1 Aw + \cdots + a_{r-1}A^{r-1}w$. Let $t \leq r-1$ be the largest index such that $a_t \neq 0$. So $A^t w = -\frac{a_{t-1}}{a_t} A^{t-1}w - \cdots - \frac{a_0}{a_t} w$, that is, $A^t w \in \mathrm{span}_k\{w, \ldots, A^{t-1}w\}$ and $t < r$. This contradicts the definition of the integer r. Thus the index t does not exist. Hence $a_i = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, that is, the vectors $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ are linearly independent.

The subspace U of W is A-invariant, for

$$\begin{aligned} A(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} b_j A^j w) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} b_j A^{j+1} w + b_{r-1} A^r w, \quad \text{where } b_j \in \mathrm{k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} b_{j-1} A^j w + b_{r-1}(\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} a_\ell A^\ell w), \quad \text{since } A^r w \in U \\ &= b_{r-1}a_0 w + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (b_{j-1} + b_{r-1}a_j) A^j w \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that there is a monic polynomial μ of degree r such that $\mu(A) = 0$ on U. With respect the basis $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ of U we can write $A^r w = -a_0 w - \cdots - a_{r-1} A^{r-1} w$. So $\mu(A)w = 0$, where

$$\mu(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \cdots + a_{r-1}\lambda^{r-1} + \lambda^r. \tag{1}$$

Since $\mu(A)A^i w = A^i(\mu(A)w) = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, it follows that $\mu(A) = 0$ on U.

By the minimality of the dimension of W the subspace U cannot be proper. But $U \neq \{0\}$, since $w \in U$. Therefore $U = W$. Since $U \subseteq V_j$, we obtain $\pi_j(A)u = 0$ for every $u \in U$. Because π_j is irreducible, the preceding statement shows that π_j is the minimum polynomial of A on U. Thus π_j divides μ. Suppose that $\deg \pi_j = s < \deg \mu = r$. Then $A^s u' \in \mathrm{span}_k\{u', \ldots A^{s-1}u'\} = Y$ for some nonzero vector u' in U. By minimality, $Y = U$. But $\dim Y = s < \dim U = r$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\pi_j = \mu$. Note that the matrix of $A|U$ with respect to the basis $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ is the $r \times r$ companion matrix

$$C_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ \vdots & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & -a_{r-2} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -a_{r-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $\pi_j = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \cdots + a_{r-1}\lambda^{r-1} + \lambda^r$.

Suppose that $U \neq V_j$. Then there is a nonzero vector $w' \in V_j \setminus U$. Let r' be the smallest positive integer such that $A^{r'}w' \in \mathrm{span_k}\{w', Aw', \ldots, A^{r'-1}w'\} = U'$. Then by the argument in the preceding paragraph, U' is a minimal A-invariant subspace of V_j of dimension $r' = r$, whose minimal polynomial is π_j. Suppose that $U' \cap U \neq \{0\}$. Then $U' \cap U$ is a proper A-invariant subspace of U'. By minimality $U' \cap U = U'$, that is, $U \subseteq U'$. But $r = \dim U = \dim U' = r'$. So $U = U'$. Thus $w' \in U'$ and $w' \notin U$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $U' \cap U = \{0\}$. If $U \oplus U' \neq V_j$, we repeat the above argument. Using $U \oplus U'$ instead of U, after a finite number of repetitions we have $V_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \oplus U_i$, where for every $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ the subspace U_i of V_j is A-invariant with basis $\{A^k u_i\}_{k=0}^{r-1}$ and the minimal polynomial of $A|U_i$ is π_j. With respect to the basis $\{A^k u_i\}_{(i,k)=(1,0)}^{(\ell,r-1)}$ of V_j the matrix of A is $\mathrm{diag}(C_r, \ldots, C_r)$, which is block diagonal. $\square$

For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ applying lemma 1.2 to V_j and using the fact that $V = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \oplus V_j$ we obtain

Corollary 1.3 There is a basis of V such that the matrix of A is block diagonal.

Proof of claim 1.1 Suppose that U is an A-invariant subspace V. Then by corollary 1.3, there is a basis ε_U of U such that the matrix of $A|U$ is block diagonal. By corollary 1.3 there is a basis ε_V of V which extends the basis ε_U such that the matrix of A on V is block diagonal. Let W be the subspace of V with basis $\varepsilon_W = \varepsilon_V \setminus \varepsilon_U$. The matrix of $A|W$ is block diagonal. Therefore W is A-invariant and $V = U \oplus W$ by construction. Consequently, A is semisimple. □

2 The Jordan decomposition of A

Here we give an algorithm for finding the Jordan decomposition of the linear mapping A, that is, we find real semisimple and commuting nilpotent linear maps S and N whose sum is A. The algorithm we present uses only the characteristic polynomial χ_A of A and does *not* require that we know *any* of its factors. Our argument follows that of [1].

Let p be the square free factorization of χ_A. Let M be the smallest positive integer such that χ_A divides p^M. Then $M \leq \deg \chi_A$. Assume that $\deg \chi_A \geq 2$, for otherwise $S = A$. Write

$$S = A + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A) p(A)^j, \tag{3}$$

where r_j is a polynomial whose degree is less than the degree of p. From the fact that χ_A divides p^M, it follows that $p(A)^M = 0$.

We want to determine S in the form (3) so that

$$p(S) = 0. \tag{4}$$

From claim 1.1 it follows that S is semisimple.

We have to find the polynomials r_j in (3) so that equation (4) holds. We begin by using the Taylor expansion of p. If (3) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(S) &= p\Big(A + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A) p(A)^j\Big) \\ &= p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} p^{(i)}(A)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A) p(A)^j\Big)^i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } p^{(i)} \text{ is } \tfrac{1}{i!} \text{ times the i}^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative of } p$$
$$= p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} c_{k,i}\, p(A)^k p^{(i)}(A). \tag{5}$$

Here $c_{k,i}$ is the coefficient of z^k in $(r_1 z + \cdots + r_{M-1} z^{M-1})^i$. Note that $c_{k,i} = 0$ if $k > i$. A calculation shows that when $k \leq i$ we have

$$c_{k,i} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1}=i \\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\cdots+(k-1)\alpha_{k-1}=k}} \frac{i!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_{k-1}!} r_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots r_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}. \tag{6}$$

Interchanging the order of summation in (5) we get

$$p(S) = p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \Big(r_i(A) p^{(1)}(A) + e_i(A) \Big) p(A)^i,$$

where $e_1 = 0$ and for $i \geq 2$ we have $e_i = \sum_{j=2}^{i} c_{i,j} p^{(j)}$. Note that e_i depends on $r_1, \ldots, r_{i-1}$, because of (6).

Suppose that we can find polynomials r_i and b_i such that

$$r_i p^{(1)} + e_i = b_i p - b_{i-1}, \tag{7}$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq M-1$. Here $b_0 = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \big(r_i(A) p^{(1)}(A) + e_i(A) \big) p(A)^i = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \big(b_i(A) p(A) - b_{i-1}(A) \big) p(A)^i = -p(A),$$

since $p^M(A) = 0$ and $b_0 = 1$, which implies $p(S) = 0$, see (5).

We now construct polynomials r_i and b_i so that (7) holds. We do this by induction. Since the polynomials p and $p^{(1)}$ have no common nonconstant factors, their greatest common divisor is the constant polynomial 1. Therefore by the Euclidean algorithm there are polynomials g and h with the degree of h being less than the degree of p such that

$$gp - hp^{(1)} = 1. \tag{8}$$

Let $r_1 = h$, and $b_1 = g$. Using the fact that $b_0 = 1$ and $e_1 = 0$, we see that equation (8) is the same as equation (7) when $i = 1$. Let $d_1 = 0$ and $q_0 = q_1 = 0$. Now suppose that $n \geq 2$. By induction suppose that the polynomials

$r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}$, $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$, $q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ are known and that r_i and b_i satisfy (7) for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Using the fact that the polynomials $r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}$ are known, from formula (6) we can calculate the polynomial $e_n = \sum_{j=2}^{n} c_{i,n}\, p^{(j)}$. For $n \geq 2$ define the polynomial d_n by

$$d_n = q_{n-1} + h \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i. \tag{9}$$

Note that the polynomials q_{n-1}, $g = b_1$, $h = r_1$, and e_i for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ are already known by the induction hypothesis. Thus the right hand side of (9) is known and hence so is d_n. Now define the polynomials q_n and r_n by dividing d_n by p with remainder, namely

$$d_n = q_n p + r_n. \tag{10}$$

Clearly, q_n and r_n are now known. Next for $n \geq 2$ define the polynomial b_n by

$$b_n = -p^{(1)} q_n + g \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i. \tag{11}$$

Since the polynomials $p^{(1)}$, q_n, $g = b_1$, and e_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are known, the polynomial b_n is known. We now show that equation (7) holds.

Proof. We have already checked that (7) holds when $n = 1$. By induction we assumed that it holds for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Using the definition of b_n (11) and the induction hypothesis we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
b_n p - b_{n-1} &= \Big[- p^{(1)} p q_n + p g \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i \Big] - \Big[- p^{(1)} q_{n-1} + g \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-1-i} e_i \Big] \\
&= -p^{(1)}(q_n p - q_{n-1}) + p g \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i \\
&= -p^{(1)}(-r_n + d_n - q_{n-1}) + (h p^{(1)} + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i, \\
&\qquad \text{using (8) and (10)} \\
&= p^{(1)} r_n - h p^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i + h p^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i, \\
&\qquad \text{using (9)} \\
&= p^{(1)} r_n + e_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

This completes the construction of the polynomial r_n in (3). Repeating this construction until $n = M - 1$ we have determined the semisimple part S of A. The commuting nilpotent part of A is $N = A - S$. □

3 Uniform normal form

In this section we give a description of the uniform normal form of a linear map A of V into itself. We assume that the Jordan decomposition of A into its commuting semisimple and nilpotent summands S and N, respectively, is known.

3.1 Nilpotent normal form

In this subsection we find the Jordan normal form for a nilpotent linear transformation N.

Recall that a linear transformation $N : V \to V$ is said to be *nilpotent of index* n if there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $N^{n-1} \neq 0$ but $N^n = 0$. Note that the index of nilpotency n need not be equal to $\dim V$. Suppose that for some positive integer ≥ 1 there is a nonzero vector v, which lies in $\ker N^\ell \backslash \ker N^{\ell-1}$. The set of vectors $\{v, Nv, \ldots, N^{\ell-1}v\}$ is a *Jordan chain* of *length* ℓ with *generating vector* v. The space V^ℓ spanned by the vectors in a given Jordan chain of length ℓ is a N-*cyclic subspace* of V. Because $N^\ell v = 0$, the subspace V^ℓ is N-invariant. Since $\ker N|V^\ell = \mathrm{span_k}\{N^{\ell-1}v\}$, the mapping $N|V^\ell$ has exactly one eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Claim 3.1.1 The vectors in a Jordan chain are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose not. Then $0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha_i N^i v$, where not every $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{k}$ is zero. Let i_0 be the smallest index for which $\alpha_{i_0} \neq 0$. Then

$$0 = \alpha_{i_0} N^{i_0} v + \cdots + \alpha_{\ell-1} N^{\ell-1} v. \tag{12}$$

Applying $N^{\ell-1-i_0}$ to both sides of (12) gives $0 = \alpha_{i_0} N^{\ell-1} v$. By hypothesis $v \notin \ker N^{\ell-1}$, that is, $N^{\ell-1}v \neq 0$. Hence $\alpha_{i_0} = 0$. This contradicts the definition of the index i_0. Therefore $\alpha_i = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. Thus the vectors $\{N^i v\}_{i=0}^{\ell-1}$, which span the Jordan chain V^ℓ, are linearly independent. □

With respect to the *standard basis* $\{N^{\ell-1}v, N^{\ell-2}v, \ldots, Nv, v\}$ of V^ℓ the

matrix of $N|V^\ell$ is the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a *Jordan block* of size ℓ.

We want to show that V can be decomposed into a direct sum of N-cyclic subspaces. In fact, we show that there is a basis of V, whose elements are given by a dark dot $\bullet$ or an open dot $\circ$ in the diagram below such that the arrows give the action of N on the basis vectors. Such a diagram is called the *Young diagram of* N.



Figure 3.1.1. The Young diagram of N.

Note that the columns of the Young diagram of N are Jordan chains with generating vector given by an open dot. The black dots form a basis for the image of N, whereas the open dots form a basis for a complementary subspace in V. The dots on or above the ℓ^{th} row for a basis for $\ker N^\ell$ and the black dots in the first row form a basis for $\ker N \cap \operatorname{im} N$. Let r_ℓ be the number of dots in the ℓ^{th} row. Then $r_\ell = \dim \ker N^\ell - \dim \ker N^{\ell-1}$. Thus the Young diagram of N is unique.

Claim 3.1.2 There is a basis of V that realizes the Young diagram of N.

Proof. Our proof follows that of Hartl [2]. We use induction of the dimension of V. Since $\dim \ker N > 0$, it follows that $\dim \operatorname{im} N < \dim V$. Thus by

the induction hypothesis, we may suppose that $\operatorname{im} N$ has a basis which is the union of p Jordan chains $\{w_i, Nw_i, \ldots, N^{m_i} w_i\}$ each of length m_i. The vectors $\{N^{m_i} w_i\}_{i=1}^{p}$ lie in $\operatorname{im} N \cap \ker N$ and in fact form a basis of this subspace. Since $\ker N$ may be larger than $\operatorname{im} N \cap \ker N$, choose vectors $\{y_1, \ldots, y_q\}$ where q is a nonnegative integer such that $\{N^{m_1} w_1, \ldots, N^{m_p} w_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q\}$ form a basis of $\ker N$.

Since $w_i \in \operatorname{im} N$ there is a vector v_i in V such that $w_i = Nv_i$. We assert that the p Jordan chains

$$\{v_i, Nv_i, \ldots, N^{m_i+1} v_i\} = \{v_i, w_i, Nw_i, \ldots, N^{m_i} w_i\}$$

each of length $m_i + 2$ together with the q vectors $\{y_j\}$, which are Jordan chains of length 1, form a basis of V. To see that they span V, let $v \in V$. Then $Nv \in \operatorname{im} N$. Using the basis of $\operatorname{im} N$ given by the induction hypothesis, we may write

$$Nv = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^{\ell} w_i \;=\; N\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^{\ell} v_i \Big).$$

Consequently,

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^{\ell} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i N^{m_i+1} v_i + \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_\ell y_\ell,$$

since the vectors

$$\{N^{m_1} w_1, \ldots, N^{m_p} w_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q\} = \{N^{m_1+1} v_1, \ldots, N^{m_p+1} v_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q\}$$

form a basis of $\ker N$. Linear independence is a consequence of the following counting argument. The number of vectors in the Jordan chains is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} (m_i + 2) + q = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (m_i + 1) + (p + q) \;=\; \dim \operatorname{im} N + \dim \ker N \;=\; \dim V.$$

□

We note that finding the generating vectors of the Young diagram of N or equivalently the Jordan normal form of N, involves solving linear equations with coefficients in the field k and thus only operations in the field k.

3.2 Some facts about S

We now study the semisimple part A.

Lemma 3.2.1 $V = \ker S \oplus \operatorname{im} S$. Moreover the characteristic polynomial $\chi_S(\lambda)$ of S can be written as a product of λ^n, where $n = \dim \ker S$ and $\chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}$, the characteristic polynomial of $S|\operatorname{im} S$. Note that $\chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}(0) \neq 0$

Proof. $\ker S$ is an S-invariant subspace of V. Since $Sv = 0$ for every $v \in \ker S$, the characteristic polynomial of $S|\ker S$ is λ^n.

Because S is semisimple, there is an S-invariant subspace Y of V such that $V = \ker S \oplus Y$. The linear mapping $S|Y : Y \to Y$ is invertible, for if $Sy = 0$ for some $y \in Y$, then $S(y + u) = 0$ for every $u \in \ker S$. Therefore $y + u \in \ker S$, which implies that $y \in \ker S \cap Y = \{0\}$, that is, $y = 0$. So $S|Y$ is invertible. Suppose that $y \in Y$, then $y = S\big((S|Y)^{-1}y\big) \in \operatorname{im} S$. Thus $Y \subseteq \operatorname{im} S$. But $\dim \operatorname{im} S = \dim V - \dim \ker S = \dim Y$. So $Y = \operatorname{im} S$.

Since $\ker S \cap \operatorname{im} S = \{0\}$, we see that λ does not divide the polynomial $\chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}(\lambda)$. Consequently, $\chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}(0) \neq 0$. Since $V = \ker S \oplus \operatorname{im} S$, where $\ker S$ and $\operatorname{im} S$ are S-invariant subspaces of V, we obtain

$$\chi_S(\lambda) = \chi_{\ker S}(\lambda) \cdot \chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}(\lambda) = \lambda^n \chi_{S|\operatorname{im} S}(\lambda). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 3.2.2 The subspaces $\ker S$ and $\operatorname{im} S$ are N-invariant and hence A-invariant.

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{im} S$. Then there is a vector $v \in V$ such that $x = Sv$. So $Nx = N(Sv) = S(Nv) \in \operatorname{im} S$. In other words, $\operatorname{im} S$ is an N-invariant subspace of V. Because $\operatorname{im} S$ is also S-invariant and $A = S + N$, it follows that $\operatorname{im} S$ is an A-invariant subspace of V. Suppose that $x \in \ker S$, that is, $Sx = 0$. Then $S(Nx) = N(Sx) = 0$. So $Nx \in \ker S$. Therefore $\ker S$ is an N-invariant and hence A-invariant subspace of V. $\square$

3.3 Decription of uniform normal form

We now describe the uniform normal form of the linear mapping $A : V \to V$, using both its semisimple and nilpotent parts.

Since $A|\ker S = N|\ker S$, we can apply the discussion of §3.1 to obtain a basis of $\ker S$ which realizes the Young diagram of $N|\ker S$, which say has r columns. For $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ let F_{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the generating vectors of Jordan chains of $N|\ker S$ in $\ker S$ of length m_ℓ.

By lemma 3.2.1 $A|\operatorname{im} S$ is a linear mapping of $\operatorname{im} S$ into itself with invertible semisimple part $S|\operatorname{im} S$ and commuting nilpotent part $N|\operatorname{im} S$. Using

the discussion of §3.1 for every $r+1 \le \ell \le p$ let F_{q_ℓ} be the set of generating vectors of the Jordan chains of $N|\operatorname{im} S$ in $\operatorname{im} S$ of length m_ℓ, which occur in the $p-(r+1)$ columns of the Young diagram of $N|\operatorname{im} S$.

Now we prove

Claim 3.3.1 For each $1 \le \ell \le p$ the space F_{q_ℓ} is S-invariant.

Proof. Let $v^\ell \in F_{q_\ell}$. Then $\{v^\ell, Nv^\ell, \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}v^\ell\}$ is a Jordan chain in the Young diagram of N of length m_ℓ with generating vector v^ℓ. For each $1 \le \ell \le r$ we have $F_{q_\ell} \subseteq \ker S$. So trivially F_{q_ℓ} is S-invariant, because $S = 0$ on F_{q_ℓ}. Now suppose that $r+1 \le \ell \le p$. Then $F_{q_\ell} \subseteq \operatorname{im} S$ and $S|\operatorname{im} S$ is invertible Furthermore, suppose that for some $\alpha_j \in \mathrm{k}$ with $0 \le j \le m_\ell - 1$ we have $0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j(Sv^\ell)$. Then $0 = S\big(\sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j v^\ell\big)$, because $S|\operatorname{im} S$ and $N|\operatorname{im} S$ commute. Since $S|\operatorname{im} S$ is invertible, the preceding equality implies $0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j v^\ell$. Consequently, by lemma 3.1.1 we obtain $\alpha_j = 0$ for every $0 \le j \le m_\ell - 1$. In other words, $\{Sv^\ell, N(Sv^\ell), \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}(Sv^\ell)\}$ is a Jordan chain of $N|\operatorname{im} S$ in $\operatorname{im} S$ of length m_ℓ with generating vector Sv^ℓ. So $Sv^\ell \in F_{q_\ell}$. Thus F_{q_ℓ} is an S-invariant subspace of $\operatorname{im} S$ and hence is an S-invariant subspace of V, since $V = \operatorname{im} S \oplus \ker S$. □

An A-invariant subspace U of V is *uniform* of *height* $m-1$ if $N^{m-1}U \neq \{0\}$ but $N^m U = \{0\}$ and $\ker N^{m-1}U = NU$. For each $1 \le \ell \le r$ let U^{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the vectors in the Jordan chains of length m_ℓ in the Young diagram of $N|\ker S$ and for $r+1 \le \ell \le p$ let U^{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the vectors in the Jordan chains of length m_ℓ in the Young diagram of $N|\operatorname{im} S$

Claim 3.3.2 For each $1 \le \ell \le p$ the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is uniform of height $m_\ell - 1$.

Proof. By definition $U^{q_\ell} = F_{q_\ell} \oplus NF_{q_\ell} \oplus \cdots \oplus N^{m_\ell-1}F_{q_\ell}$. Since $N^{m_\ell}F_{q_\ell} = \{0\}$ but $N^{m_\ell-}F_{q_\ell} \neq \{0\}$, the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is A-invariant and of the height $m_\ell - 1$. To show that U^{q_ℓ} is uniform we need only show that $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} \subseteq NU^{q_\ell}$ since the inclusion of NU^{q_ℓ} in $\ker N^{m_\ell-1}$ follows from the fact that $N^{m_\ell}F_{q_\ell} = 0$. Suppose that $u \in \ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell}$, then for every $0 \le i \le m_\ell - 1$ there are unique vectors $f_i \in F_{q_\ell}$ such that $u = f_0 + Nf_1 + \cdots + N^{m_\ell-1}f_{m_\ell-1}$. Since $u \in \ker N^{m_\ell-1}$ we get $0 = N^{m_\ell-1}u = N^{m_\ell-1}f_0$. If $f_0 \neq 0$, then the preceding equality contradicts the fact that f_0 is a generating vector of a Jordan chain of N of length m_ℓ. Therefore $f_0 = 0$, which means that $u = N(f_1 + \cdots + N^{m_\ell-2}f_{m_\ell-1}) \in NU^{q_\ell}$. This shows that $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} \subseteq NU^{q_\ell}$. Hence $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} = NU^{q_\ell}$, that is, the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is uniform of height $m_\ell - 1$. □

Now we give an explicit description of the uniform normal form of the linear mapping A. For each $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ let $\chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$ be the characteristic polynomial of S on F_{q_ℓ}. From the fact that every summand in $U^{q_\ell} = F_{q_\ell} \oplus NF_{q_\ell} \oplus \cdots \oplus N^{m_\ell - 1}F_{q_\ell}$ is S-invariant, it follows that the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{S|U^{q_\ell}}$ of S on U^{q_ℓ} is $\chi^{m_\ell}_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$. Since $V = \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \oplus U^{q_\ell}$, we obtain $\chi_S = \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \chi^{m_\ell}_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$. Choose a basis $\{u^\ell_j\}_{j=1}^{q_\ell}$ of F_{q_ℓ} so that the matrix of $S|F_{q^\ell}$ is the $q_\ell \times q_\ell$ companion matrix C_{q_ℓ} (2) associated to the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$. When $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ the companion matrix C_{q_ℓ} is 0 since $S|F_{q_\ell} = 0$. With respect to the basis $\{u^\ell_j,\, Nu^\ell_j, \ldots, N^{m_\ell - 1}u^\ell_j\}_{j=1}^{q_\ell}$ of U^{q_ℓ} the matrix of $A|U^{q_\ell}$ is the $m_\ell q_\ell \times m_\ell q_\ell$ matrix

$$D_{m_\ell q_\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{q_\ell} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ I & C_{q_\ell} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I & C_{q_\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & I & C_{q_\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $V = \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \oplus U^{q_\ell}$, the matrix of A is $\operatorname{diag}(D_{m_1 q_1}, \ldots, D_{m_p q_p})$ with respect to the basis $\{u^\ell_j,\, Nu^\ell_j, \ldots, N^{m_\ell - 1}u^\ell_j\}_{(j,\ell)=(1,1)}^{(q_\ell, p)}$. We call preceding matrix the *uniform normal form* for the linear map A of V into itself. We note that this normal form can be computed using only operations in the field k of characteristic 0.

Using the uniform normal form of A we obtain a factorization of its characteristic polynomial χ_A over the field k.

Corollary 3.3.3 $\chi_A(\lambda) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \chi^{m_\ell}_{S|F_{q_\ell}}(\lambda) = \lambda^n \prod_{\ell=r+1}^{p} \chi^{m_\ell}_{S|F_{q_\ell}}(\lambda)$, where $n = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} m_\ell = \dim \ker S$.

References

[1] N. Burgoyne and R. Cushman, *The decomposition of a linear mapping*, J. Lin. Alg. & Appl., **8** (1974) 515–519.

[2] J. Hartl, *Ein einfacher Induktionsbeweis für die Existenz der Jordan-Normalform*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **50** (1988) 323327.